

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/712,786 | 11/12/2003 | Terrence W. Schmidt | 1934-9-3 | 7807 |
| 75 | 90 07/28/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| Bryan A. Santarelli GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP Suite 350 | | | OLSON, LARS A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 155 - 108th Avenue NE | | | 3617 | |
| Bellevue, WA | 98004-5901 | | DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <i>≯</i> ′, <i>→</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/712,786 | SCHMIDT ET AL. | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Lars A. Olson | 3617 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>17 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | in the final rejection wh | iahawan ia lataa da | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da bliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, of the final within two months. | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of | | |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | | | е арреат. Эпісе | | |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | 20 | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowater) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidav | rit or other evidence is | s necessary and | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a 1). | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | |
| | | LARS A. OLSO | | | |
| | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/712,786

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has broadened the claim of a vessel with a hull having multiple operating modes, which included at least one of a shallow draft mode and a very shallow draft mode, to now include only a very shallow draft mode. The very shallow draft mode feature, which previously did not need to be demonstrated in the prior art to maintain a rejection of the applicant's claims, now is necessary to maintain the present rejection of the claims. Due to the broadness of the amended claims and the previously unnecessary very shallow draft mode feature, an extensive further search of the prior art is deemed necessary by the examiner in fairness to the applicant .